Applicant(s) Application No. 09/981,161 TANAKA, TAIZOU Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Karabi Guharay 2879 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Karabi Guharay. (2) Hae-Chen Park. Date of Interview: 12 October 2004. Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: No. Identification of prior art discussed: Yes. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorney agreed that a official translation copy of the priority document was not provided with the Remarks, filed on July 30 2004 . Furthermore, applicant's attroney has not been able to submit the official translation. Accordingly Applicant's attroney has acknowledged that the examiner will sent a final office action, since no copy of the official translation is on record . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required